

Letter to the Editor

High-Resolution HLA Typing for Sensitized Patients: Advances in Medicine and Science Require Us to Challenge Existing Paradigms

To the Editor:

In the March 17 issue of *AJT*, an editorial by Cecka, Reed and Zachary (1) commented on our personal viewpoint article wherein we proposed that HLA mismatch acceptability for sensitized transplant candidates should be determined at high-resolution levels (2). This editorial seems to express the view that HLA antigen-based testing be maintained as is despite its inherent deficiencies. We are perplexed by conflicting comments that more HLA complexity “should be pretty low on the list of priorities” while simultaneously mentioning a need for HLA-DQA and DP typing and “better” resolution of HLA-DRB3/4/5 types.

Furthermore, the statement that “a whole bunch of antibodies, each recognizing a single HLA antigen or allele” places little emphasis on the concept that those antibodies are epitope specific. The editorial states that epitopes are theoretically based on “nucleotide sequence similarities between HLA alleles” and “only a few have been documented with antibodies.” During the past 2 decades, many investigators, notably Paul Terasaki’s group, have confirmed unique HLA epitopes defined by antibodies and three recent publications list 97 HLA-ABC, 50 HLA-DR, -DQ, -DP and 21 MICA antibody-verified epitopes recorded so far in the International Registry of HLA Epitopes at <http://www.epregistry.com.br> (3–5).

The editorial expresses the opinion that “more information is almost always better than less when making clinical decisions, except when it is not directly applicable to the problem at hand.” Clearly, it does not consider the limitations of antigen-based matching as problematic and that “it is likely that one or two patients on a waiting list might benefit from knowing which HLA alleles are expressed by the donor.” How these numbers were determined is itself an interesting question. An informal survey among viewpoint article authors revealed that the number of highly sensitized patients with specific allele-reactive antibodies was >10%. Accordingly, hundreds of such patients on the US national waiting list would benefit from high-resolution typing. Most transplant programs are government funded and fairness and equity in the organ allocation process is of considerable importance even if it only impacts a small percentage of transplant candidates.

We acknowledge that “it is difficult to tease out the precise impact of allele-level typing and identifying epitopes on the chance of a transplant for a sensitized patient.” This editorial has a calculation for a single allele with a 0.5% frequency and for a 10% admixture population concluding that only 1 in 2000 donors would have this allele. However, this calculation fails to acknowledge that (1) a given population may have multiple alleles corresponding to a given antigen, (2) many alleles have frequencies well above the cut-off point of 0.5% and (3) admixtures may have multiple population and ethnic groups each with their own distinct alleles. These factors all contribute to the allelic diversities of donors and recipients especially when worldwide transplant programs are considered.

Rather than clutching to old paradigms, we must apply the newest scientific concepts and the most precise technologies to define humoral barriers to successful transplantation. Let’s move forward.

Disclosure

The authors of this manuscript have no conflicts of interest to disclose as described by the *American Journal of Transplantation*.

R. J. Duquesnoy^{1,*}, H. M. Gebe², E. S. Woodle³, P. Nickerson⁴, L. A. Baxter-Lowe⁵, R. A. Bray⁶, F. H. J. Claas⁷, D. D. Eckels⁸, J. J. Friedewald⁹, S. V. Fuggle¹⁰, J. A. Gerlach¹¹, J. J. Fung¹², M. Kamoun¹³, D. Middleton¹⁴, R. Shapiro¹⁵, A. R. Tambur¹⁶, C. J. Taylor¹⁷, K. Tinckam¹⁸ and A. Zeevi¹⁹

¹Thomas E. Starzl Transplantation Institute, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA

²HLA Laboratory, Emory University Hospital, Atlanta, GA

³University of Cincinnati, Cincinnati, OH

⁴Department of Internal Medicine and Immunology, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Canada


⁵HLA Laboratory, Children’s Hospital Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA

⁶HLA Laboratory, Emory University Hospital, Atlanta, GA

⁷Department of Immunohematology and Transfusion, Leiden University Medical Center, Leiden, the Netherlands

⁸MLC Group LLC, Phoenix, AZ

⁹Comprehensive Transplant Center, Northwestern

	Journal	MSP No.	Dispatch: May 4, 2015	CE: Rajina
	AJT	L-15-00463.R1(13376)	No. of Pages: 2	PE: Matt Scanlon

University Feinberg School of Medicine, Chicago, IL

¹⁰Transplant Immunology Laboratory, Oxford Transplant Centre, Oxford University Hospitals, Oxford University, Oxford, United Kingdom

¹¹Biomedical Laboratory Diagnostics Program, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI

¹²Digestive Disease Institute, Cleveland Clinic Main Campus, Cleveland, OH

¹³Immunology & Histocompatibility Testing Laboratories, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA

¹⁴Department of Transplant Immunology, Royal Liverpool and Broadgreen University Hospital, Liverpool, United Kingdom

¹⁵Recanatj/Miller Transplantation Institute, Icahn School of Medicine at Mt. Sinai, New York, NY

¹⁶Transplant Immunology Laboratory, Comprehensive Transplant Center, Feinberg School of Medicine, Northwestern University, Chicago, IL

¹⁷Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge University, Cambridge, United Kingdom

¹⁸Division of Nephrology and HLA Laboratory, University Health Network, Toronto, ON, Canada

¹⁹Division of Transplant Pathology, Thomas E. Starzl Transplantation Institute, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, PA

*Corresponding author: Rene J. Duquesnoy, DuquesnoyR@upmc.edu

References

1. Cecka JM, Reed EF, Zachary AA. HLA high-resolution typing for sensitized patients: A solution in search of a problem? *Am J Transplant* 2015; 15: 855–856.
2. Duquesnoy RJ, Kamoun M, Baxter-Lowe LA, et al. Personal viewpoint: Should HLA mismatch acceptability for sensitized transplant candidates be determined at the high-resolution rather than the antigen level? *Am J Transplant* 2015; 15: 923–930.
3. Duquesnoy RJ, Marrari M, Tambur A, da Mata Sousa LCD, do Monte SJH. First report on the antibody verification of HLA-DR, HLA-DQ and HLA-DP epitopes recorded in the HLA epitope registry. *Hum Immunol* 2014; 75: 1097–1103.
4. Duquesnoy RJ, Marrari M, Mulder A, da M. Sousa, do AS, Monte SJH. First report on the antibody verification of HLA-ABC epitopes recorded in the HLA epitope registry. *Tissue Antigens* 2014; 83: 391–400.
5. Duquesnoy RJ, Marrari M, Mosteckí J, da Mata Sousa LCD, do Monte SJH. First report on the antibody verification of MICA epitopes recorded in the HLA epitope registry. *Int J Immunogenetics* 2014; 41: 370–377.

Proof Correction Marks

Please correct and return your proofs using the proof correction marks below. For a more detailed look at using these marks please reference the most recent edition of The Chicago Manual of Style and visit them on the Web at: <http://www.chicagomanualofstyle.org/home.html>

<i>Instruction to typesetter</i>	<i>Textual mark</i>	<i>Marginal mark</i>
Leave unchanged	... under matter to remain	<i>stet</i>
Insert in text the matter indicated in the margin	^	^ followed by new matter
Delete	Ʒ through single character, rule or underline or Ʒ through all characters to be deleted	Ʒ
Substitute character or substitute part of one or more word(s)	Ƶ through letter or — through characters	new character Ƶ or new characters Ƶ
Change to italics	— under matter to be changed	<i>ital</i>
Change to capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	<i>Caps</i>
Change to small capitals	≡ under matter to be changed	<i>sc</i>
Change to bold type	~ under matter to be changed	<i>bf</i>
Change to bold italic	~ under matter to be changed	<i>bf+ital</i>
Change to lower case	Ɔ	<i>lc</i>
Insert superscript	√	√ under character e.g. √
Insert subscript	^	^ over character e.g. ^
Insert full stop	⊙	⊙
Insert comma	↕	↕
Insert single quotation marks	↙ ↘	↙ ↘
Insert double quotation marks	↗ ↖	↗ ↖
Insert hyphen	=	=
Start new paragraph	¶	¶
Transpose	┌┐	┌┐
Close up	linking ○ characters	○
Insert or substitute space between characters or words	#	#
Reduce space between characters or words	◌	◌

Return this form to:

Matt Scanlon
 Senior Production Editor
 Wiley Blackwell Publishing
 350 Main St, Malden, MA USA
 Fax: +1 781-338-8484
 Email: AJT@wiley.com

Dear Author:

Your article has been accepted for publication in a forthcoming issue of *The American Journal of Transplantation*.

You have supplied one or more components for use in your article that require acceptance of a charge for publication. Please indicate whether or not you accept the charge(s) identified below and return this form to the above address within 48 hours.

Charges for *The American Journal of Transplantation* are not voluntary. Your signature on this form constitutes a binding agreement to pay fully for any charges incurred in the publication of your article, within 30 days of receipt of invoice. You will be notified of the exact amount owed when your article is published.

Page charges:

Seven journal pages are printed free of charge. Each additional page will be charged at US \$115.

Color charges:

1st color figure = \$635
 2nd, 3rd, 4th figures = \$500 each
 Subsequent Figures = \$275

Component(s) in Article	Charge(s)	Page #	Estimated Charge(s) (in USD)
1 Color Figure	\$635.00		
2 Color Figures	\$1135.00		
3 Color Figures	\$1635.00		
4 Color Figures	\$2135.00		
5 Color Figures	\$2410.00		
Each Add'l Color Figure	\$275.00 per		
Excess page charge	\$115 per page		\$ Total Estimated Charge

AJT article #/Title of Article: -

Author(s): _____

Billing Address (please complete):

Name:
Address:
Phone Number:
Fax Number
Email Address:

If you have any questions or concerns, please contact the production editor at ajt@wiley.com